arXiv:1412.1461v1 [math.FA] 3 Dec 2014

NON-EXISTENCE OF COMMON HYPERCYCLIC ENTIRE FUNCTIONS FOR CERTAIN FAMILIES OF TRANSLATION OPERATORS

GEORGE COSTAKIS, NIKOS TSIRIVAS, AND VAGIA VLACHOU

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of entire functions endowed with the topology of local uniform convergence. Fix a sequence of non-zero complex numbers (λ_n) with $\liminf_n \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|} > 2$. We prove that there exists no entire function f such that for every $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the set $\{f(z+\lambda_n b) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. This, on one hand gives a negative answer to Question 2 in [19] and on the other hand shows that certain results from [32], [33] are sharp.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of entire functions endowed with the topology of local uniform convergence. For every $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let $T_b : \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ be the translation operator defined by the formula $T_b(f)(z) = f(z+b)$ for $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. An old result due to Birkhoff [12] says, in non-technical terms, that the operator T_1, although linear, exhibits quite complicated dynamical behavior. To be more precise, there exists an entire function f so that its iterates under T_1, $\{T_1^n(f) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ (the symbol T_1^n is understood as composing T_1 with itself n-times), form a dense set in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$. This means that the set $\{f(z+n) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$.

In modern terminology, this type of behavior is a particular instance of a general phenomenon in (functional) analysis, so called *hypercyclicity*, which appears frequently in any "reasonable" topological vector space. To recall, briefly, let X be a real or complex separable topological vector space. A sequence (S_n) of linear and continuous operators on X is called *hypercyclic* provided there exists $x \in X$ so that the set $\{S_n(x) : n = 1, 2, \ldots\}$ is dense in X. Then x is called *hypercyclic* for (S_n) and $HC(\{S_n\})$ denotes the set of all hypercyclic vectors for (S_n). If in the previous definition the sequence (S_n) comes from the iterates of a single

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A16,30E10.

Key words and phrases. Hypercyclic operator, common hypercyclic functions, translation operator.

The second author during this research was supported by Science Foundation Ireland under Grant 09/RFP/MTH2149 .

operator S then we simply say that S is *hypercyclic*, x is *hypercyclic* for S and $HC(S)$ are the hypercyclic vectors for S. For a detailed study on this subject we refer to the recent books [8], [26].

In this work we focus on translation operators on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ and in particular we contribute to the problem of *common* hypercyclic functions for such operators. Let us fix a sequence of non-zero complex numbers (λ_n) with $|\lambda_n| \to +\infty$. It is well known that for every $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ the sequence $(T_{\lambda_n b})$ is hypercyclic in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$ and the set $HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\})$ is G_δ, i.e. countable intersection of open sets, and dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, see for instance [24], [25] (of course, this is an extension of Birkhoff's result mentioned above). Therefore, an appeal of Baire's category theorem shows that the intersection $\bigcap_{b\in B} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\})$ is always non-empty, in fact G_δ and dense in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{C})$, whenever B is a countable subset of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. However, whether the above intersection remains non-empty whenever B is uncountable is already a non-trivial problem! Indeed, our point of departure is the following question, which was raised by the first author in [19].

Question [19]. *Let (λ_n) be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers such that $\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty} |\lambda_n| = +\infty$. Is it true that $\bigcap\limits_{b\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\}) \neq \emptyset$?*

In many cases the above Question admits a positive answer, as for instance in the cases: $\lambda_n = n$ [22], $\lambda_n = n\log(n+1)$ ([19], [33]), $\lambda_n = n^2$, $\lambda_n = n^3$ etc. [32]. The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let (λ_n) be a sequence of non-zero complex numbers such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|} > 2.$$

Then for every non-degenerate line segment $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ the set $\bigcap\limits_{b\in I} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\})$ is empty. In particular, $\bigcap\limits_{b\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\}) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 1.1 has two main consequences. On one hand, it gives a negative answer to the above Question. On the other hand, it sharpens certain recent results of the second author; for instance, the main result in [32] implies the following:

$$\bigcap_{b\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} HC(\{T_{e^{n^c} b}\}) \neq \emptyset \ \text{ for every } \ 0 < c < 1.$$

Now, we stress that the allowed growth e^{n^c}, $0 < c < 1$ in the previously mentioned result is optimal, as far as the highest power c concerns us, since

$$\bigcap_{b\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} HC(\{T_{e^n b}\}) = \emptyset,$$

by Theorem 1.1. Observe that in order to conclude the lack of common hypercyclic functions for families of translation operators as above, it is necessary to impose some kind of geometrical growth on the sequence (λ_n) in view of the following: for a sequence of non-zero complex numbers (λ_n) with $|\lambda_n| \to +\infty$,

$$\text{if } \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|} = 1 \text{ then } \bigcap_{b\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\}) \neq \emptyset,$$

which is a particular case of the main result in [32]. To complete the picture, it remains to deal with the case $1 < \liminf_n \frac{|\lambda_{n+1}|}{|\lambda_n|} \leq 2$, which for us, at least up to now, is a "grey zone" and perhaps reflects the limitations of our method.

One can find in the literature several results supporting the existence of common hypercyclic vectors for many kinds of operators, besides translations, such as weighted shifts, adjoints of multiplication operators, differentiation and composition operators; see for instance, [1]-[11], [13]-[23], [26]-[31]. For results showing the lack of common hypercyclic vectors for certain families of hypercyclic operators, we refer to [5], [7], [31].

2. Some combinatorial lemmas for intervals

For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we establish a series of lemmas which, we believe, are of independent interest. Let us introduce some standard notation. For an interval I, either in $\mathbb{R}$ or in $\mathbb{C}$, we denote its length by $|I|$. Let V be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. The symbol diam$V$ stands for the diameter of V.

2.1. **Intervals in $\mathbb{R}$.**

Lemma 2.1. *Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a compact interval and $V \subseteq I$ be open (in the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}$). Suppose, in addition, that there exist two positive numbers a, A such that $2a \leq A$ and for every $x, \widetilde{x} \in V$ either $|x - \widetilde{x}| < a$ or $|x - \widetilde{x}| > A$. Then at least one of the following is true :*

(i) *diam$V \leq a$.*
(ii) *$I \setminus V$ contains a closed interval of length A.*

Proof. Consider the relation $\mathfrak{D} \subseteqq V \times V$ defined by the following rule:

$$(x, \widetilde{x}) \in \mathfrak{D} \text{ if and only if } |x - \widetilde{x}| < a.$$

Obviously, the relation $\mathfrak{D}$ is reflexive and symmetric. We shall prove that it is also transitive. Let $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in V$ such that $(x_1, x_2) \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $(x_2, x_3) \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then $|x_1 - x_2| < a$, $|x_2 - x_3| < a$ and

$$|x_1 - x_3| \leq |x_1 - x_2| + |x_2 - x_3| < 2a \leq A.$$

Since $x_1, x_3 \in V$, our hypothesis implies that either $|x_1 - x_3| < a$ or $|x_1 - x_3| > A$. In view of the above we conclude that $|x_1 - x_3| < a$, which shows that the relation $\mathfrak{D}$ is transitive. Thus, $\mathfrak{D}$ is an equivalence relation. Therefore we may consider V_j, $j \in G$ the classes of equivalence, where G is a set of indices.

Then the following hold:

(i) The set V_i is open in $\mathbb{R}$, for every $i \in G$.
(ii) $dist(V_i, V_j) \geq A$ for every $i, j \in G$ with $i \neq j$.
(iii) The set G is finite.

To prove (i) we fix $i \in G$ and it remains to prove that the set V_i is open in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $x \in V_i$. Clearly, $x \in V$. Since V is open in $\mathbb{R}$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon) \subseteq V$. Because the interval $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is connected and the function $f(y) = |x - y|$, $y \in (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is continuous, the image of f is connected. Thus, $\varepsilon \leq \frac{a}{2}$. Therefore $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$ is actually contained in V_i.

We proceed with the proof of item (ii). Obviously, for every $x \in V_i$ and $y \in V_j$ we have $|x - y| > A$ and the result follows.

We are left with the proof of item (iii). Arguing by contradiction, suppose that the set G is denumerable (we can not have an uncountable family of disjoint open sets in $\mathbb{R}$). Let $V_1, V_2, \ldots$ be the classes of equivalence and for every positive integer n choose $x_n \in V_n$. Since $V_n \subset I$ for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ and I is compact the sequence (x_n) has a limit point. The last gives that $|x_{k_1} - x_{k_2}| < a$, for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k_1 \neq k_2$ and so x_{k_1}, x_{k_2} belong to the same equivalence class. This is clearly a contradiction. Therefore G is a finite set.

Case I: G contains only one element. Then obviously $\mathrm{diam} V \leq a$.

Case II: G contains more than one element. Let us assume that $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ are the classes of equivalence, for some positive integer $n \geq 2$.
Without loss of generality (changing the enumeration if necessary), we may assume that $\inf V_1 = \min\limits_{i=1,2,\ldots,n} \inf V_i$ and $\inf V_2 = \min\limits_{i=2,\ldots,n} \inf V_i$.
Then the closed interval $[\sup V_1, \sup V_1 + A]$ is contained in $I \setminus V$. Let us see why:

- Since V_1, V_2 are open, $\sup V_1 \notin V_1$ and $\inf V_2 \notin V_2$.
- Since I is closed, $\sup V_1, \inf V_2 \in I$.
- $|\inf V_2 - \sup V_1| \geq A$ (because of (ii)).
- $\inf V_2 - \sup V_1 = (\inf V_2 - \inf V_1) - (\sup V_1 - \inf V_1) \geq A - a \geq 2a - a = a > 0$.
- Since I is an interval, $[\sup V_1, \inf V_2] \subset I$.
- It is easy to see that V does not intersect the interval $[\sup V_1, \inf V_2]$.

The proof is complete in view of $[\sup V_1, \sup V_1 + A] \subset [\sup V_1, \inf V_2]$. ■

The above lemma does not hold if we remove the assumption $A \geq 2a$. Let us give a counterexample. Assume that $a \leq A < 2a$, then set $\varepsilon = \frac{2a-A}{3} > 0$ and consider $I = [0, 2a]$ and $V = (0, \varepsilon) \cup (a - \varepsilon, a) \cup (2a - 2\varepsilon, 2a - \varepsilon)$. If $A < a$ every open set satisfies the assumptions of the lemma, but not necessarily the conclusion.

The following corollary is actually the result we need for the proof of our main result.

Corollary 2.1. *Let a, A be two positive numbers such that $2a \leq A$. Let, in addition, I be a compact interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $V \subset I$ be an open set. Assume that the following conditions hold:*

(i) $|I| \geq 2A + a$.
(ii) *For every $x, y \in V$, either $|x - y| < a$ or $|x - y| > A$.*

Then the set $I \setminus V$ contains a closed interval of length A.

Proof. In view of the previous lemma, we only have to deal with the case $\mathrm{diam} V \leq a$. It suffices to prove that $I \setminus (\inf V, \sup V)$ has at least one connected component of length at least A (note that this set has at most 2 connected components). If this is not the case, then $|I| < 2A + \sup V - \inf V$ and we have a contradiction. ■

2.2. **Intervals in $\mathbb{C}$.** The next corollary is nothing but the complex version of Corollary 2.1.

Corollary 2.2. *Let a, A be two positive numbers, such that $2a \leq A$. Let, in addition, $I \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a line segment and $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open set (in the usual topology of $\mathbb{C}$). Assume that the following conditions hold:*

(i) $|I| \geq 2A + a$.
(ii) *For every $z, w \in V$, either $|z - w| < a$ or $|z - w| > A$.*

Then the set $I \setminus V$ contains a line segment of length A.

Proof. Case I: If I is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and $I \cap V$ is open in $\mathbb{R}$ then the result follows from Corollary 2.1.

Case II: If I is contained in $\mathbb{R}$ and $I \cap V$ is not open in $\mathbb{R}$, set $V^* = (I \cap V) \setminus \{\text{endpoints of } I\}$ and apply Corollary 2.1 to V^*. The result follows.

Case III: In the general case where $I \subset \mathbb{C}$ but I is not contained in $\mathbb{R}$, we use an isometry $\phi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$, composing a translation with a rotation so that $I' := \phi(I)$ is a closed interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $|I'| = |I|$. By this, we transfer our problem to the previous cases and everything works nicely, since the isometry ϕ preserves closed, open sets and lengths. ■

3. Two elementary lemmas

We prove two elementary lemmas, which will connect the above results with our main result.

Let k be a positive number, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $f : \mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$, $g : \{z : |z| \leq k\}\to\mathbb{C}$ be complex functions. We will use the following notations:

$$V_\lambda(f, g, k) := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_{|z|\leq k} |f(z + \lambda b) - g(z)| < \frac{1}{k} \right\},$$

$$V_\lambda(f, k) := \left\{ b \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_{|z|\leq k} |f(z + \lambda b) - z| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $r > 0$, $D(z, r)$, $\overline{D(z, r)}$ denote the open and closed disk with center z and radius r, respectively.

Lemma 3.1. *Let k be a positive number, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$ and $f : \mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ a complex function. If $b_1, b_2 \in V_\lambda(f, k)$ we have:*

$$\textit{either } \ |b_1 - b_2| < \frac{2}{k|\lambda|} \ \textit{ or } \ |b_1 - b_2| > \frac{2k}{|\lambda|}.$$

Proof. Let $b_1, b_2 \in V_\lambda(f, k)$.

Case 1. $\overline{D(\lambda b_1, k)} \cap \overline{D(\lambda b_2, k)} \neq \emptyset$.

Then there exist complex numbers z_1, z_2 with $|z_1|, |z_2| \leq k$ such that $w := z_1 + \lambda b_1 = z_2 + \lambda b_2$. Since $|f(w) - z_1| < 1/k$, $|f(w) - z_2| < 1/k$ we have $|z_1 - z_2| < 2/k$. Therefore, $|b_1 - b_2| < 2/(k|\lambda|)$.

Case 2. $\overline{D(\lambda b_1, k)} \cap \overline{D(\lambda b_2, k)} = \emptyset$.

Then $|\lambda b_1 - \lambda b_2| > 2k$. This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Lemma 3.2. *Let k be a positive number, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f : \mathbb{C}\to\mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. Fix any function $g : \{z : |z| \leq k\}\to\mathbb{C}$. Then the set $V_\lambda(f, g, k)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $b \in V_\lambda(f, g, k)$. Since f is continuous, there exists δ with $0 < \delta < 1$ such that whenever $z, w \in \overline{D(\lambda b, k + 1)}$ and $|z - w| < \delta$ the distance between $f(z)$ and $f(w)$ is less than $(1/k) - \sup_{|z|\leq k} |f(z + \lambda b) - g(z)|$. Using the last and the triangle inequality it is straightforward to check that the open disk $D(b, \frac{\delta}{|\lambda|})$ is contained in $V_\lambda(f, g, k)$. This completes the proof. ■

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We fix a compact, non-degenerate interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{C}\setminus\{0\}$. Arguing by contradiction, assume that there exists a function $f \in \bigcap_{b\in I} HC(\{T_{\lambda_n b}\})$. Let some $\ell > 2$ with

$$2 < \ell < \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \left|\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right|.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\left|\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n}\right| > \ell$ for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots$. Fix a positive number k satisfying:

$$k^2 \geq 2, \tag{4.1}$$

$$k^2 \geq \frac{1}{\ell - 2}. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to+\infty} |\lambda_n| = \infty$, there exists a positive integer m such that

$$\frac{4k}{|\lambda_n|} + \frac{2}{k|\lambda_n|} < |I|, \quad \text{for every} \quad n = m, m+1, \ldots. \tag{4.3}$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq m$ we consider the set

$$V_n := V_{\lambda_n}(f, k) = \left\{ b \in \mathbb{C} : \sup_{|z|\leq k} |f(z + \lambda_n b) - z| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Since $f \in \bigcap_{b\in I} HC(\{T_{\lambda_{n+m} b}\})$, keep in mind that m is fixed, the inclusion

$$I \subset \bigcup_{n=m}^{+\infty} V_n$$

is straightforward. Moreover, in view of Lemma 3.2, the sets V_n, $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ are open in $\mathbb{C}$. Therefore, since I is compact we have:

$$I = \bigcup_{n=m}^{N} (V_n \cap I),$$

for some positive integer $N \geq m$. Let us define

$$n_1 := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : m \leq n \leq N \ \text{ and } \ I \cap V_n \neq \emptyset\}.$$

By Lemma 3.1, if $b_1, b_2 \in V_{n_1}$ then either $|b_1 - b_2| < 2/(k|\lambda_{n_1}|)$ or $|b_1 - b_2| > 2k/|\lambda_{n_1}|$ and

$$\frac{\frac{2k}{|\lambda_{n_1}|}}{\frac{2}{k|\lambda_{n_1}|}} = k^2 \geq 2.$$

In view of (4.3) we conclude that I, V_{n_1} and $a := \frac{2}{k|\lambda_{n_1}|}$, $A := \frac{2k}{|\lambda_{n_1}|}$ satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2.2. Hence, there exists a line segment I_{n_1} such that:

$I_{n_1} \subset I$,

$I_{n_1} \cap V_{n_1} = \emptyset$,

$|I_{n_1}| = A = \dfrac{2k}{|\lambda_{n_1}|}$.

Thus, necessarily,

$$I_{n_1} \subset \bigcup_{n=n_1+1}^{N} V_n.$$

We iterate this argument. Let us define

$$n_2 := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n_1 + 1 \leq n \leq N \ \text{ and } \ I_{n_1} \cap V_n \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Moreover, by (4.2) we get

$$k^2 \geq \frac{1}{\ell - 2} \Leftrightarrow (\ell - 2)k \geq \frac{1}{k} \Leftrightarrow \ell k \geq 2k + \frac{1}{k}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \frac{2\ell k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|} \geq \frac{4k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|} + \frac{2}{k|\lambda_{n_2}|}.$$

Therefore,

$$|I_{n_1}| = \left|\frac{2k}{\lambda_{n_1}}\right| > \frac{2\ell k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|} \geq \frac{4k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|} + \frac{2}{k|\lambda_{n_2}|}. \tag{4.4}$$

Using (4.4) and arguing as above we see that all the hypothesis of Corollary 2.2 are fulfilled for $I := I_{n_1}$, $V := V_{n_2}$, $a := \frac{2}{k|\lambda_{n_2}|}$ and $A := \frac{2k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|}$. Hence, there exists a line segment I_{n_2}, such that:

$I_{n_2} \subset I_{n_1}$,

$I_{n_2} \cap V_{n_2} = \emptyset$,

$|I_{n_2}| = \dfrac{2k}{|\lambda_{n_2}|}$.

The above imply that

$$I_{n_2} \cap V_{n_2} = \emptyset \ \text{ and } \ I_{n_2} \subset \bigcup_{n=n_2+1}^{N} V_n.$$

Continuing this process, after a finite number of steps (at most $N - m + 1$) we will end up with a subsegment of I disjoint from all V_n for $n \in \{m, \ldots, N\}$ and this is obviously a contradiction. ■

References

[1] E. Abakumov, J. Gordon, Common hypercyclic vectors for multiples of backward shift, J. Funct. Anal. 200 (2003), 494-504.

[2] F. Bayart, Common hypercyclic vectors for composition operators. J. Operator Theory 52 (2004), 353-370.

[3] F. Bayart, Topological and algebraic genericity of divergence and universality, Studia Math. 167 (2005), 161-181.

[4] F. Bayart, Common hypercyclic subspaces, Integral Equations Operator Theory 53 (2005), 467-476.

[5] F. Bayart, Dynamics of holomorphic groups, Semigroup Forum 82 (2011), 229-241.

[6] F. Bayart, G. Costakis, D. Hadjiloucas, Topologically transitive skew-products of operators. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 30 (2010), 33-49.

[7] F. Bayart, S. Grivaux, Frequently hypercyclic operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 5083-5117.

[8] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, Dynamics of linear operators, Cambridge Tracts in Math. 179, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2009.

[9] F. Bayart and E. Matheron, How to get common universal vectors, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 56, (2007), 553-580.

[10] F. Bayart, S. Grivaux, R. Mortini, Common bounded universal functions for composition operators, Illinois J. Math. 52 (2008), 995-1006.

[11] L. Bernal-Gonzalez, Common hypercyclic functions for multiples of convolution and non-convolution operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 3787-3795.

[12] G. D. Birkhoff, Démonstration d' un théorèm élèmentaire sur les fonctions entières, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 189 (1929), 473-475.

[13] K. C. Chan, R. Sanders, Common supercyclic vectors for a path of operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 337 (2008), 646-658.

[14] K. C. Chan, R. Sanders, Two criteria for a path of operators to have common hypercyclic vectors, J. Operator Theory 61 (2009), 191-223.

[15] K. C. Chan, R. Sanders, Common hypercyclic vectors for the conjugate class of a hypercyclic operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 375 (2011), 139-148.

[16] K. C. Chan, R. Sanders, An SOT-dense path of chaotic operators with same hypercyclic vectors, J. Operator Theory 66 (2011), 107-124.

[17] K. C. Chan, R. Sanders, Rebecca Common hypercyclic vectors for the unitary orbit of a hypercyclic operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 387 (2012), 17-23.

[18] A. Conejero, V. Müller, A. Peris, Hypercyclic behaviour of operators in a hypercyclic C_0-semigroup, J. Funct. Anal., 244 (2007), 342-348.

[19] G. Costakis, Approximation by translates of entire functions, Complex and harmonic analysis, 213-219 Destech Publ., Inc., Lancaster, PA, 2007.

[20] G. Costakis, Common Cesàro hypercyclic vectors, Studia Math. 201 (2010), 203-226.

[21] G. Costakis, P. Mavroudis, Common hypercyclic entire functions for multiples of differential operators, Colloq. Math. 111 (2008), 199-203.

[22] G. Costakis and M. Sambarino, Genericity of wild holomorphic functions and common hypercyclic vectors, Adv. Math. 182 (2004), 278-306.

[23] E. Gallardo-Gutierrez, J. R. Partington, Common hypercyclic vectors for families of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 119-126.
[24] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Holomorphe Monster und universelle Funktionen. (German) [Holomorphic monsters and universal functions] Dissertation, University of Trier, Trier, 1987. Mitt. Math. Sem. Giessen No. 176 (1987), iv+84 pp.
[25] K.-G. Grosse-Erdmann, Universal families and hypercyclic operators, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 36 (1999), 345-381.
[26] K. Grosse-Erdmann and A. Peris, Linear Chaos, Universitext, 2011, Springer.
[27] F. Leon-Saavedra, Fernando, V. Müller, Rotations of hypercyclic and supercyclic operators, Integral Equations Operator Theory 50 (2004), 385-391.
[28] E. Matheron, Subsemigroups of transitive semigroups, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 32 (2012), 1043-1071.
[29] R. Sanders, Common hypercyclic vectors and the hypercyclicity criterion, Integral Equations Operator Theory 65 (2009), 131-149.
[30] S. Shkarin, Universal elements for non-linear operators and their applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 348 (2008), 193-210.
[31] S. Shkarin, Remarks on common hypercyclic vectors, J. Funct. Anal. 258, (2010), 132-160.
[32] N. Tsirivas, Existence of common hypercyclic vectors for translation operators, arXiv:1411.7815
[33] N. Tsirivas, Common hypercyclic functions for translation operators with large gaps, arXiv:1412.0827

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, GR-700 13 Heraklion, Crete, Greece
E-mail address: costakis@uoc.gr

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Crete, GR-700 13 Heraklion, Crete, Greece
E-mail address: tsirivas@uoc.gr

Department of Mathematics, University of Patras, 26500 Patras, Greece
E-mail address: vvlachou@math.upatras.gr